1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 99-00062 CRB No. C 03-01790 CRB
12	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
13	V.
14	FRANCISCO MENDOZA-PRADO,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	Because Petitioner has made a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional
18	right" and because the issues presented in his petition for writ of habeas are "debatable
19	among jurists of reason," his request for a certificate of appealability is GRANTED.
20	Lambright v. Stewart, 220 F.3d 1022, 1025 (9th Cir. 2000 (quoting 28 U.S.C. 2253(c)(2)).
21	The following questions are hereby certified for appeal:
22	
23	(1) whether this Court erred in holding that Petitioner made an inadequate
24	showing of prejudice to warrant habeas relief on his claim of ineffective
25	assistance of counsel; and
26	
27	
28	//

(2) whether this Court erred in determining that an evidentiary hearing was
unnecessary to determine whether Petitioner was prejudiced by his
attorney's alleged failure to inform him of the possibility that the
government would file a superseding indictment against him.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 2007

CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE